

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/528,524	03/20/2000	Jacques Michelet	B-3881-617765-1	5142	
7	590 09/24/2002				
Richard J Pacuilan			EXAMINER		
Ladas & Parry 5670 Wilshire Boulevard			HUYNH, LUAT T		
21st Floor Los Angeles, CA 90036			ART UNIT	T PAPER NUMBER	
			2121		
			DATE MAIL ED: 00/24/2002	•	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

``		Application No.		Applicant(s)	N
		09/528,524		MICHELET ET AL.	
•-	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
		Luat (Luke) T. Hu	<u> </u>	2121	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports reply	pears on the cover	sheet with the c	orrespondence add	dress
THE - External after - If the results of the result	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for the provided period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, hower by within the statutory mini will apply and will expire S e, cause the application to	ver, may a reply be tim mum of thirty (30) day: BIX (6) MONTHS from become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	r. Immunication.
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·			
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) Th	nis action is non-fir	nal.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under				e merits is
•	ion of Claims Claim(s) is/are pending in the applicati	on			
4)	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra		ation		
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.	WIT HOTH CONSIDER	ition.		
· · ·	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.				
· _	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
_	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirer	nent.		
	ion Papers				
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) _ acce	pted or b) objecte	ed to by the Exa	miner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held	d in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a) <mark></mark> approve	d b) disappro	oved by the Examine	er.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	• •	ion.		
•	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer.			
_	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)	⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:				
	Certified copies of the priority document				
	2. Certified copies of the priority document				
* ;	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ıreau (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).		Stage
14) 🔲 .	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35	5 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional	application).
	a) The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	• •			
Attachmei	nt(s)				
2) 🔲 Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) 🔲		r (PTO-413) Paper No(Patent Application (PT0	
C. Datast and	Trademark Office				

Application/Control Number: 09/528,524

Art Unit: 2121

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 08/14/02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In the REMARKS on page 9 of the amendment filed on 08/14/02, Applicants submit that the art relied upon by the Examiner does not teach, disclose or suggest all of the claimed elements of independent claims 1, 6, and 15.

First, Applicants submit that the combination of Mermelstein with HP-MaxiLife does not teach, describe, or suggest "said service channel is also used to permit said independent electronic circuit to have access to the On Screen Display (ODS) capability of said at least on display in order to display text and/or graphics independently of said processor and said operating system". It is clarified that the stated above situation is covered in HP-MaxiLife (page 2, lines 35-37), wherein the independent electronic circuit is considered as the independent hardware monitoring and diagnostic system for personal computers. Also, HP-MaxiLife mentions the term LCD (page 2, line 36), which is a display device that has the same functionality as the On Screen Display (ODS).

Second, Applicants submit that the combination of Mermelstein and HP-MaxiLife does not teach "said at least one display receives a graphics channel...and a service channel".

Regarding the limitation of "a graphics channel...and a service channel", Applicants' attention is directed to the graphics controller of Mermelstein (see element 180, Fig. 3A). Nowhere in the HP-MaxiLife reference does it mentions about the service channel, but HP-MaxiLife teaches a system consists of an integrated microprocessor, a dedicated IC bus and a Liquid Crystal Display

Application/Control Number: 09/528,524

Art Unit: 2121

(LCD) showing status and system information (page 1, lines 3-5). One of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that the system includes the service channel in order maximize system up-time by constantly monitoring critical system components. Therefore, the combination of Mermelstein with HP-MaxiLife teaches all the limitations of claim 1. Claims 6 and 15 are rejected for the same reason as claim 1.

With respect to dependent claims 2, 7, and 16, Applicants request that the Examiner shows where in Mermelstein, HP-MaxiLife, or VESA the teaching or suggestion of the display consists of "a bi-directional serial communication link which is compatible with IC protocol, providing either DDC or DDC/CI communication link which is compatible with said operating system" to make the claimed combination is found. VESA states that IC based protocols offer bi-directional communication between the computer graphic host and the display device to have good communication performances (see Summary on page 1).

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 2121

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Luke T. Huynh whose telephone number is (703) 305-4562. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, alternate Mondays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas G. Black can be reached on (703) 305-9707. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 746-7239 for regular communications and (703) 746-7238 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

LUKE HUYNH PATENT EXAMINER

lh September 18, 2002

THOMAS BLACK
THOMAS BLACK
THOMAS BLACK
EXAMINER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
2100
SUPERVISORY CENTER 2100